Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/836,576	HAENSLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Zachariah Lucas.	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Geenfield</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>December 18, 2003</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Obviousness rejections		
Claims discussed: 30, 36, 89, 90.		
Prior art documents discussed: Gao and Epand		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner contacted Applicant to discuss most recent claim amendments. Claim amendments and arguments in traversal of the outstanding rejections were discussed.		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
>		
JAMES HOUSEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMILIER TECHNOLOGY CENTER TOLO		
March Come.		
1 Charles (CDE Circoture)	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignatura if appropriate)
χÉxaminer/SPE Signature) (Applican	mybhicairr a Mehreachranne a	ignature – ir appropriate)